UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,351	07/06/2006	Shinichi Ito	1018760-000029	2555
	7590 09/25/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	PASCHALL, MARK H		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/585,351	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H. Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this α O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dissect in assertations with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-6-06, 7/25/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: the title should be amended to reflect use of a friction reducer on the contacts of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,2,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art on page 1 in the instant disclosure or Lang et al 6,320,268, in view of Japanese Abstract 102,400'. The admitted prior art and the Lang et al patent

Art Unit: 3742

both teach a diode device with a casing, having leads connected to the diode device, as claimed. These references do not teach use of friction reducer or a coating on the leads connected to the diode, for increasing the contact efficiency. The Japanese abstract, Jp, clearly teaches the same as conventional, Teaching use of a metal conductive powder applied to the contact electrode surfaces of the device, see the abstract and elements 5a and 5b. Use of the same leads to reduced resistance and thermal resistance in the contact structure and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify either the admitted prior art or the Lang et al system, with a metal powder coating between the contact structures of the device, to increase the resistance to thermal and resistive parameters. As per claim 2 these powder materials do comprise micro particles, as broadly claimed. As per claim 7 note use of threads on the admitted prior art casing, as claimed.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art or Lang et al, in view of Jp 102,400 as applied to claims 1,2,6,7 above, and further in view of Eriksson 3,581,163

Both Lang et al and the admitted prior art teach the claimed subject matter except for showing use of particular materials for the contacts, such as gold. However, the patent to Lang et al is applied for clearly teaching use of gold to coat surface contacts in electrical devices, as claimed. See paragraph 9 in the detailed disclosure. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the admitted prior art or the

Art Unit: 3742

Lang et al system further to include gold as the contact material, to enhance the resistive and thermal contacts of the contacts.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamazaki et al is cited for disclosing a pertinent contact structure of interest to the disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,351 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mp

/Mark H Paschall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742